## **EPA Official Record**

Notes ID: A4C9A8AD7DBC03DE852578690055D11D

From: "Fox, Steve \(New Bedford\)" < Steve.Fox@jacobs.com>

**To:** Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US@EPA; "Gouveia, Mark" <Mark.Gouveia@jacobs.com>; "Anderson, Michael \(Boston\)" <Michael.Anderson@jacobs.com>; ElaineT Stanley/R1/USEPA/US@EPA; "Rigassio-Smith, Anita" <Anita.Rigassio-Smith@jacobs.com>; "Mitkevicius, K C" <K.C.Mitkevicius@nae02.usace.army.mil>

**Delivered Date:** 03/04/2009 09:29 AM EDT

Subject: RE: comments on draft hybrid cost est. @ \$80m - Conference Call at 10 AM today

Hi Everyone,

As discussed during today's phone call, we will have a conference call at 10AM to discuss Dave's comments below. The following is the call in number:

866-365-4406 Code - 3777063

Thanks,

Steve

----Original Message----

From: dickerson.dave@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:dickerson.dave@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, March 03, 2009 11:52 AM

To: K.C.Mitkevicius@nae02.usace.army.mil; maurice.beaudoin@usace.army.mil; Robert.A.Leitch@usace.army.mil; paul.g.l'heureux@usace.army.mil; Fox, Steve

(New Bedford); Rigassio-Smith, Anita; Gouveia, Mark

Cc: Catri.Cynthia@epamail.epa.gov; Peterson.David@epamail.epa.gov; Ng.ManChak@epamail.epa.gov; Gutro.Doug@epamail.epa.gov;

Brill.Larry@epamail.epa.gov; Falvey.Jeanethe@epamail.epa.gov;

stanley.elainet@epamail.epa.gov

Subject: comments on draft hybrid cost est. @ \$80m

- Hi here are my comments on the subject estimate:
- 1. ALL years should be assumed to be \$80 million, including year #1. The \$80m should NOT be escalated with inflation as we'd be well above the "bare minimum" of funding that would require inflationary adjustments.
- 2. In year #2 "ROD signed" should be changed to "ESD signed" just to avoid confusion.
- 3. Why not fill the LHCC in year #3, rather than having it sit empty until year #4?

- 4. I would include a line item showing the cost to instal the LHCC silt curtain.
- 5. An LTM round #6 should be added (escalated for inflation) for year #5.
- 6. The NPV of \$303.1m seems high compared to the initial (called-back) version of \$293.6m which one is correct?

Thanks - Dave

NOTICE - This communication may contain confidential and privileged information that is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any viewing, copying or distribution of, or reliance on this message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.